This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.

Symbolic Model Interface

The Symbolic Model Interface (SMI) is a library which provides for the efficient construction and manipulation of symbolic representations for finite state systems, in particular for communication protocols. It is developed at the Verimag laboratory and is a part of the CADP toolset.

With SMI, a system is described as a network of communicating processes, each process being an extended finite state automaton. Given the system textual description, the SMI routines build an equivalent symbolic representation using decision diagrams. This representation can be the starting point in the development of more customized applications, e.g. model checking for different temporal logics or symbolic minimization with respect to equivalence relations. On this page you can find:

- Principles
 - o The input language
 - The symbolic model
- Applications
 - o mu-calculus model checker
 - o minimal model generator
- Related papers
- Examples
 - o Alternating Bit Protocol
 - Fischer Mutual Exclusion Protocol
- Manual pages
 - o Description
 - _o Synopsis
 - o Extended Automata
 - Networks of Extended Automata
 - Data Types
 - Variable Ordering
- Download

Principles

- The input language
- The symbolic model

The input language

The primary purpose of the **SMI** input language is to allow a natural and concise description of processes and their communications. Secondly, this description must be simple enough to be used for the construction of a symbolic representation using decision diagrams(**DD**). Some of the input language concepts are sketched in the following.

A *process* encapsulates data and behavior. It is specified by its variables, its communication ports and one or more control threads.

Variables are used to store local information about the process. Currently, only variables with simple finite domains are allowed: booleans, bounded naturals and other finite sets. The scope of a variable is the process definition, i.e. variables cannot be shared between different processes.

A thread is defined as a finite state automaton whose transitions can test and assign process variables. Furthermore, a transition can contain a message emission/receptionto/from one communication port. If a process contains more than one thread, they are completely asynchronous. The process executes a step by non-deterministically choosing a thread, then executing one of its enabled transitions. Note however that different threads can share the same process variables.

A protocol is specified by a composition expression whose ground terms are processes. Two operators are provided, one for parallel composition with synchronization by message emissions and receptions, and the other for abstraction of communication at some ports. The synchronization forces two or more transitions from different processes to be combined and executed simultaneously (rendez-vous). The abstraction hides or ignores the communication at some ports.

The symbolic model

The protocol model is a labeled transition system $(Q, Act, \{Ta \mid a \text{ in } Act\}, Qo)$. Q is a finite set of states, Act is a set of actions, Ta subset of $Q \times Q$ is the transition relation labeled by aand Q0 subset of Q is the set of initial states. Usually, such model underlies the protocol verification algorithms. Given a protocol described in our input language, our aim is to build and to handle efficiently its corresponding model.

The symbolic model interface was designed to allow the manipulation of model *state sets* and *transitions*, symbolically represented by decision diagrams.

The symbolic model is builded from a protocol described using the input language. More precisely, the protocol transition relations Ta are encoded using DDs. The encoding process can take into account a number of parameters. For example, the parallel composition and abstraction semantics can be considered CSP-like (with binary rendez-vous and CSP restriction) or CCS-like (with n-ary rendez-vous and CCS abstraction). We can also impose the computation of reachable states Acc - some verification algorithms are more efficient when the reachable states are a priori known.

Basic operations on sets, such as union, intersection or complementation are directly mapped to **DD**s functions. The inclusion or the equality test are straightforward using **DD**s. Some specialized functions which perform *model exploration*, e.g. to compute the initial state set Qo, or the successors/predecessors for a given state set, *Post* and *Pre* are also provided.

Finally, SMI is not based on one particular **DD** implementation, thus it can be used with any **DD**s and only a minimal interface is required.

Applications

- mu-calculus model checker
- minimal model generator

Mu-calculus model checker

The *model checker* performs the backward evaluation of alternating free mu-calculus formulae over symbolic model representations.

Intuitively, the semantics of a formula P represents the set of states which satisfy it and is noted by [[P]]. The model checking algorithm for a formula P0 works in two steps:

- the set [[Po]] is constructed recursively over the formula structure.
- a decision procedure is invoked:
 - o standard evaluation -:- checks if Qo is subset of [[Po]]
 - o forward analysis -:- checks if Acc intersected with [[Po]] is not empty
 - o invariant checking -:- checks if Qo is subset of [[Po]] and Post([[Po]]) is subset of [[Po]]

Minimal model generator

Given a labeled transition system(LTS) the *minimal model generator*(MMG) generates an equivalent minimal LTS. The minimality is relative to a bisimulation equivalence. A precise and complete description of the MMG algorithm can be found in Bouajjani-Fernandez-Halbwachs-90-b.

Briefly, the principle of the MMG algorithm is to refine an initial partition of the state space until a *reachable* and *stable* partition is obtained. It can be also defined as a computation of the greatest fixed point of a *split* function defined over partitions. Different reductions (by strong, weak, branching,... bisimulation) are obtained considering an appropriate *split* function.

The algorithm can work with the symbolic model representations. More precisely, a symbolic representation of partitions can be used, i.e. representing each equivalence class by a decision diagram. All partition transformations are then reduced to classical operations on decision diagrams. Currently, an operational version of this algorithm works with the **SMI** library.

Related Papers

Examples

- Alternating Bit Protocol
- Fischer Mutual Exclusion Protocol

Alternating Bit Protocol

The alternating bit protocol is part of the 4th OSI transport layer. It allows the exchange of messages betweeen two entities, a transmitter and a receiver, linked by unreliable communication channels.

The protocol is composed by four asynchronous processes: a transmitter, a receiver and the two communication channels between them. The communication is performed via 6 ports, which link the processes and their environnment. The **SMI** description of this protocol is given in the following files:

bitalt.exp bitalt.types bitalt.order transmitter.aut medium1.aut receiver.aut medium2.aut

Fischer Mutual Exclusion Protocol

This protocol can be easily described using multiple control threads and shared variables. Each process is defined as a control thread of one **SMI** master process. Another special thread is used to intialize the clocks Ci and to encode the discrete *time progression*. The complete description of the protocol with 2 processes is given in the following files.

fischer.exp fischer.types fischer.order

fischer.aut

Manual Pages

- Description
- Synopsis
- Extended Automata

- Networks of Extended Automata
- Data Types
- Variable Ordering

Description

The **SMI** library provides an interface to access finite models builded from <u>Networks</u> of <u>Extended</u> <u>Automata</u>. The models are represented symbolically using <u>Decision Diagrams(DDs)</u>. The <u>SMI</u> implements functions to handle model state sets and model transitions. The <u>SMI</u> library use exactly one of the following <u>DD</u> implementation at time:

- BMDDs Binary Multivalued Decision Diagrams (local)
- Cudds Colorado University Decision Diagram
- TiGeR TiGeR Binary Decision Diagrams
- Bdds Binary Decision Diagrams (local)

We have been developped two applications using the **SMI** libraries: **evaluator** which evaluate mucalculus formulae on the model and **mmg** which generate the minimal model w.r.t. some bisimulations. The **SMI** libraries and the applications are available for SunOS and HP-UX platforms.

Synopsis

- evaluator [smi-options] [eval-option] name[.exp] [name2]
- mmg [smi-options] [mmg-options] name[.exp]

The name denotes the use of the followings files to build the symbolic model representation:

- name.exp-:- model definition
- name.types -:- model types
- name.order -: model variables order

The name2 denote an optionally mu-calculus formula file.

The smi-options available are: -stat print various statistics during computation (not a default option) - mem n allocate n MB of memory for **DD**s (default n = 8) -vars v use at maximum v **DD** variables (default v = 48) -sift enable the DD variables automatic reordering (not a default option) -sim use the simultaneous composition of automata (not a default option) -front frontier strategy for the computation of reachable states (not a default option) -noreach not compute the reachable states (not a default option)

The eval-options might be one of the following: -eval formula backward evaluation (default option) - path extract an execution path to a satisfying state (not a default option) -inv simple invariant checking

(not a default option)

For the mmg-options see Aldebaran manual page.

Extended Automata

The extended automata are described using the following context-free grammar:

```
Extended automata
                 ::=Var-Decl-List Ctrl-Thread-List
Ext-Aut
Var-Decl-List
                 ::=Var-Decl-List Var-Decl
                 None
Ctrl-Thread-List ::=Ctrl-Thread-List Ctrl-Thread
                 None
                   Variable declarations
Var-Decl
                 ::=Var-List ':' Type-Name
Var-List
                 ::=Var-List ',' Var-Name
                 | Var-Name
                   Control threads
Ctrl-Thread
                 ::=Thread-Descr Thread-Trans-List
Thread-Descr
                 ::='des' '(' Init-State ',' Trans-Number ','States-Number ')'
Thread-Trans-List::=Thread-Trans-List Thread-Trans
                | None
                   Thread transitions
Thread-Trans
                 ::='(' Start-State ',' Guard Action Assign ',' Final-State ')'
Guard
                 ::='[' Bool-Expr ']'
                 None
Action
                 ::='send' Gate-Name Out-Expr-List
                   'receive' Gate-Name In-Var-List
                   'i'
                   None
Out-Expr-List
                ::=Out-Expr-List '!' Expr
                None
                ::=In-Var-List '?' Var-Name
In-Var-List
                None
                ::=Var-Name ':=' Expr
Assign
                 | Assign Assign
                   Assign ';' Assign
                   '{' Assign '}'
                   None
                   Expressions
                ::=Bool-Expr
Expr
                   Nat-Expr
                   Enum-Expr
                ::=Var-Name
Bool-Expr
```

'~' Bool-Expr

Nat-Expr	Bool-Expr Bool-Op Bool-Expr Enum-Expr Rel-Op Enum-Expr Nat-Expr Rel-Op Nat-Expr ::=Var-Name Nat-Number Nat-Expr Nat-Op Nat-Expr
Enum-Expr	::=Var-Name
	Enum-Item-Name
	Enum-Op Enum-Expr
	Operators
Bool-Op	::='V'
_	' / \'
	'=>'
	'<=>'
Rel-Op	::=' = '
•	'<='
	' '>='
	'>'
	'<'
	' '�'
Nat-Op	'::='+'
······································	î u
	 '*'
	i <i>'</i> /'
	'%'
Enum-Op	::='succ'
•	'pred'

Data Types

```
Type-Defs
                ::=Nat-Def Enum-Def-List
                  Naturals range
                ::='nat' '{' Nat-Range '}'
Nat-Def
                  None
                ::=Nat-Number
Nat-Range
                  Enumerated types
                ::=Enum-Def-List Enum-Def
Enum-Def-List
                None
                ::='enum' Enum-Name '{' Enum-Item-List '}'
Enum-Def
Enum-Item-List ::=Enum-Item-List ',' Enum-Item-Name
               | Enum-Item-Name ',' Enum-Item-Name
Enum-Name
                ::=Identifier
Enum-Item-Name::=Identifier
```

Variable Ordering

The variables and thread control states order used to build the symbolic model can be specified using an external file, which must respect the following syntax:

Order ::=Order-Item-List

Order-Item-List::=Order-Item-List Order-Item

None

Order-Item ::=Aut-Name ':' Var-Name

| Aut-Name ':' Thread-Id

Aut-Name ::=Identifier
Var-Name ::=Identifier
Thread-Id ::=Nat-Number

Download

The SMI toolset can be downloaded here.

Author : Marius Dorel Bozga







Contact the Webmaster.